1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Felicia Medina (SBN 255804) fmedina@medinaorthwein.com Jennifer Orthwein (SBN 255196) jorthwein@medinaorthwein.com Shauna Madison (SBN 299585) smadison@medinaorthwein.com MEDINA ORTHWEIN LLP 230 Grand Avenue, Suite 201 Oakland, CA 94610 Telephone: (510) 823-2040 Facsimile: (510) 217-3580		
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff C. Jay Smith		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISON		
10			
11			
12	C. JAY SMITH (a/k/a CARY KEETON SMITH),	Case No. 4:20-cv-04335-HSG	
13	Plaintiff,		
14	T familify	JOINT STIPULATION AND	
15	V.	ORDER TO EXTEND DEADLINE FOR PLAINTIFF TO OPPOSE	
16 17	RALPH DIAZ; RON DAVIS; T. DUKE; R. FESTON; B. HAUB; M. BLOISE; Y. FRANCO; and M. TAYLOR,	DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS	
18	,		
19	Defendants.	Judge: Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr. Trial Date: Not Set	
20		Action Filed: June 29, 2020	
21	Under Local Rule 6-2, Plaintiff C. Jay Smith ("Plaintiff") and Defendants Diaz, Davis, Duke,		
22	Feston, Haub, Bloise, Franco, and Taylor (collectively "Defendants"), by and through their respective		
23	counsel of record, hereby stipulate and jointly request as follows:		
24	WHEREAS, on March 17, 2021, Defendants requested, through a joint stipulation, a first		
25	extension of time of sixty (60) days to respond to Plaintiff's complaint (ECF No. 15);		
26	WHEREAS, on May 21, 2021, the Court ordered Defendants to file a response within twenty-one		
27	(21) days (ECF No. 19);		
28	WHEREAS, on June 9, 2021, Defendants' counsel contacted Plaintiff's counsel by email and		
	Joint Stipulation & Order to Extend Deadline for Plaintiff to Oppose Motion to Dismiss Case No. 4:20-cv-04335-HSG		

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

23

22

24

25

26 27

28

requested an extension of time to respond to Plaintiff's complaint;

WHEREAS, on June 10, 2021, the Parties met and conferred telephonically about Defendants' request for an extension of time to respond to Plaintiff's complaint. As a result of the meet and confer process, the Parties agreed to extend Defendants' deadline to respond to Plaintiff's complaint by twentyone (21) days and to reciprocally extend Plaintiff's deadline to oppose Defendants' motion to dismiss by twenty-one (21) days, in the event that Defendants file a motion to dismiss. Plaintiff's counsel's request for an extension of time was based on the additional barriers Plaintiff's counsel face to communicate with Plaintiff due to the nature of incarceration:

WHEREAS, on June 11, 2021, Defendants requested, through a joint stipulation, a second extension of time of twenty-one (21) days for Defendants to respond to Plaintiff's complaint and a reciprocal extension for Plaintiff to oppose Defendants' motion to dismiss, in the event that Defendants file a motion to dismiss (ECF No. 23);

WHEREAS, on June 14, 2021, the Court granted Defendants' second extension of time of twentyone (21) days to respond to Plaintiff's complaint (ECF No. 24);

WHEREAS, on July 2, 2021, Defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss Certain Defendants and Claims in Plaintiff's Complaint (ECF No. 25);

WHEREAS, the Parties believe good cause exists to extend Plaintiff's deadline to oppose Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Certain Defendants and Claims in Plaintiff's Complaint;

WHEREAS, the Parties previously agreed to a reciprocal extension of time to respond to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Certain Defendants and Claims in Plaintiff's Complaint during the June 10, 2021 telephonic meet and confer; and

WHEREAS, the Parties do not believe that the twenty-one (21) day extension of Plaintiff's deadline to respond to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Certain Defendants and Claims in Plaintiff's Complaint will have a significant effect on the schedule for the case;

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the Parties, through their respective counsel of record, and subject to Court approval, that Plaintiff's deadline to oppose Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Certain Defendants and Claims in Plaintiff's Complaint be extended by twenty-one (21) days, up to and including August 13, 2021.

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
2		
3	Dated: July 12, 2021	/s/ Janet N. Chen Janet N. Chen, Deputy Attorney General
4		Attorney for Defendants
5	D . 1 . 1 . 10 . 2021	
6	Dated: July 12, 2021	/s/ Jennifer Orthwein Jennifer Orthwein, Medina Orthwein LLP
7		Attorney for Plaintiff
8	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
9		
10	Dated: 7/19/2021	Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr.
11		U.S. District Court Judge
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		